

(1:16CV00083)

and supported by substantial evidence in the record. [*Id.* at PageID #: 898](#). Finally, the magistrate judge recommends that the Court find the ALJ did not err in finding Plaintiff capable of performing her past work of accounting clerk, customer service clerk, and floral designer because substantial evidence supports the hypothetical questions the ALJ posed to the Vocational Expert. [*Id.* at PageID #: 899](#).

[Fed. R. Civ. P. 72\(b\)\(2\)](#) provides that objections to a Report and Recommendation must be filed within 14 days after service. Objections to the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation were, therefore, due on February 1, 2017. Neither party has filed objections, evidencing satisfaction with the magistrate judge's recommendations. Any further review by this Court would be a duplicative and inefficient use of the Court's limited resources. [Thomas v. Arn](#), 728 F.2d 813 (6th Cir. 1984), *aff'd*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); [Howard v. Secretary of Health and Human Services](#), 932 F.2d 505 (6th Cir. 1991); [United States v. Walters](#), 638 F.2d 947, 949-50 (6th Cir. 1981).

Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation of the magistrate judge is hereby adopted. The decision of the Commissioner of Social Security is affirmed. Judgment will be entered in favor of Defendant.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

February 6, 2017
Date

/s/ Benita Y. Pearson
Benita Y. Pearson
United States District Judge